

How to Find Novelty and Research Gap?



Ridwan Arifin

*Lecturer at the Faculty of Law,
Universitas Negeri Semarang,
INDONESIA*

CURRICULUM VITAE

Ridwan Arifin, S.H., LL.M.

ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id

+62 81225294499

Jakarta, 2 Feb 1989

Area of expertise:

1. Criminal Law
2. Public International Law
3. Human Rights Law
4. Criminology & Victimology
5. Environmental Justice

- Director of Law Journals Development Center UNNES (2022-present)
- Director Law Publishing House (BPFH UNNES, 2016-present)
- Editor in Chief *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies* (**Scopus, Q1**)
- Managing Editor *Lex Scientia Law Review* (**Scopus Q2**) dan *Journal of Law and Legal Reform* (**Scopus**)
- Indonesian Society of International Law
Indonesian Society of Criminal Law and Criminology



Ridwan Arifin ✓
(Arifin, Ridwan)
Universitas Negeri Semarang

Web of Science ResearcherID: Y-2450-2019

Published name: Arifin, Ridwan
Published Organization: Universitas Negeri Semarang
Subject Categories: Government & Law; Education & Educational Research
Other Identifiers: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9744-588X>

Arifin, Ridwan

Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia 57212310531 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9744-588X>

27 Citations by 25 documents | 35 Documents | 2 h-index View h-graph | View all metrics >

Set alert Edit profile More

Document & citation trends



Scopus Preview

Scopus Preview users can only view a limited set of features. Check your institution's access to view all documents and features.

Check access

35 Documents Author Metrics Cited by 25 documents 1 Preprint 71 Co-Authors 0 Topics 0 Awarded Grants

Ridwan Arifin
Universitas Negeri Semarang
Verified email at mail.unnes.ac.id - Homepage
Public International Law Crime and Justice Assets Recovery Environmental Justice

TITLE	CITED BY	YEAR
Applying In Dubio Pro Natura in Environmental Crime Cases: Legal Perspectives in Indonesia A Ramli, TI Putra, NF Dewanti, SW Kinasih, R Arifin, SH Idris The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education 5 (4), 409-444		2023
Revolutionizing Society through Pancasila-Based Legal Education with Street Law (Case of Indonesia) R Arifin, B Wadhvani, R Alkadri, R Karim, FA Khan The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education 5 (4), 541-584		2023
Striking a Balance: Navigating Peace, Justice, and Restorative Justice in Indonesian Prosecutorial Process R Arifin, SH Idris, TI Munandar, ND Nte The Prosecutor Law Review 1 (3), 73-96		2023
A Discourse of Justice and Legal Certainty in Stolen Assets Recovery in Indonesia: Analysis of Radbruch's Formula and Friedman's Theory R Arifin, C Wibandari, M Muljadi, IS Usan, TI Munandar Volkegeist: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum dan Konstitusi 6 (2), 159-181		2023

GET MY OWN PROFILE

Cited by

	All	Since 2019
Citations	1893	1866
h-index	21	21
i10-index	50	49



ig_ridwanarifin



rdwanarifin



Ridwan Arifin




UNNES
UNIVERSITAS NEGERI SEMARANG



Scopus

What is Problem?

- A **problem** is an obstacle which makes it difficult to achieve a desired goal, objective or purpose.
- It refers to a situation, condition, or issue that is yet unresolved.
- In a broad sense, a problem exists when an individual becomes aware of a significant difference between what actually is and what is desired.



We have to know...

Starting out...

- 1. Do you have a research question?**
- 2. What do we know about this topic?**

Methods to Identifying Gaps

- 1. Environmental Scan**
- 2. Rapid Review**
- 3. Systematic Review**
- 4. Scoping Review**

Environmental Scan


...is the art of systematically exploring and taking note of what is the external environment of your organization in part to better understand the **nature of trends and deep drivers of change**...ES are used to provide decision-makers with knowledge about current social, economic, technological and political contexts, and to identify any potential short- and long-term shifts...

Rapid Review

...is a research methodology that uses shorter timeframes than for other evidence-based summaries. It provides a **timely and valid view of evidence but sacrifices rigour**. As such, RRs are both review and assessment, and respond to urgent clinical and public health-related questions. They aim to provide robust analyses of easily-retrievable evidence in less than six weeks...typically requiring fewer resources and funding...

Systematic Review

...is an investigation of a **clearly-formulated question that uses methodical and explicit steps to identify, select, and critically appraise relevant research**, and to collect and analyze data from any appropriate studies that may be found.




How the systematic review process?

1. A **series of searches** for papers or studies
2. A comparison of important features in each study against a list of **inclusion and/or exclusion criteria**
3. A **detailed critical appraisal** of each study for risk of bias and potential confounding factors
4. Detailed **methodology must be documented** for publication

Scoping Review

...are exploratory projects that systematically map the literature on a topic, identifying key concepts, theories and sources of evidence. SR aim to address broader, more complex, and exploratory research questions...[as opposed to] systematic reviews which are designed to answer precisely defined, narrow questions...

How we analyze the gap to identify the problems?



How to develop research question?

To develop a strong research question, the researcher should ask him/herself the following:

- **Do I know the field and its literature well?**
- **What are the important research questions in my field?**
- **What areas need further exploration?**
- **Could my study fill a gap? Lead to greater understanding?**
- **Has a great deal of research already been done in this topic area?**
- **Has this study been done before? If so, is there room for improvement?**
- **Is the timing right for this question to be answered? Is it a hot topic, or is it becoming obsolete?**
- **What type of information do I need to answer the research question?**
- **If proposing a service program, is the target community interested?**
- **Most importantly, will my study have a significant impact on the field?**

How we judge the novelty on our research?

#1

Conduct a literature review:

A literature review is an essential component of any research project, and it helps to establish the context for the study by identifying what is already known about the topic. By reviewing the existing literature, researchers can identify gaps in the knowledge and formulate new questions or hypotheses to investigate, ensuring novelty in research.

How we judge the novelty on our research?

#2

Compare with previous studies

Researchers can assess the novelty of their work by comparing their findings to those of previous studies in the same or related fields. If the results differ significantly from what has been previously reported, it can be an indication that the study is novel and potentially significant.

How we judge the novelty on our research?

#3

Read target journal publications

Subscribe to your target journal and other reputed journals in your field of study and keep up with the articles it publishes. Since most high-impact journals typically ensure novelty in research when publishing papers, this will help you keep track of the developments and progress being made in your subject area.

How we judge the novelty on our research?

#4

Assess contribution to the field

One way to assess novelty in research is to evaluate how much it contributes to your specific field. Research that makes a significant contribution to advancing knowledge or addressing important questions is often considered more valuable than those that simply replicate elements from previously published research.

How we judge the novelty on our research?

#5

Consider an alternative methodology

Even if the topic or area of study has been studied, one can bring in novelty in research by exploring various methodologies or by tweaking the research question to provide new insights and perspectives. Researchers can highlight aspects of the study that have not been done before, introduce these in the proposed research design, and illuminate how this will ensure novelty in research.


How we judge the novelty on our research?

#6

Get support from your peers

Engage with your mentors/supervisors, professors, peers, and other experts in the field to get their feedback on introducing novelties in their research. It's a good idea to join and actively participate in scientific research and scholarly groups or networks where users provide updates on new technological innovations and development.

STRWP MODEL: Cognitive Model In Scholarly Paper Writing



Planning your Review

- Test Searches/background searches to inform your question and search strategy
- Refine/alter question?

Conducting your Search

- Search databases
- Look for grey literature
- Hand searching
- Document everything!

Analyzing your Search Results

- Refer back to your criteria
- Discover trends
- Look for gaps
- Follow Up Searches

What did you find? What didn't you find? If you find a systematic review it's covered. There was enough to even do a review. How many articles met your criteria? Did you find anything? Use the gaps you find to justify your research, grants, etc. If there's a ton of information maybe you do a systematic review instead of new original research.

What's Next?

1. Organize information
2. Draft paper
3. Apply for grant(s)
4. Publish!
5. Plan the next round of research

Selecting a Journal

- Talk to your Colleagues & Peers
- Locate articles on your topic or similar topic and see what journals they are published in
- Look at previously published articles from journals you are considering
- **Avoid predatory publishers**



**Question
and
Discussion**

Thank you!



Ridwan Arifin, S.H., LL.M.
Faculty of Law, Universitas Negeri Semarang